

VIU Judging Sheet

Communication

The presenter used non-verbal communication (i.e. eye contact, voice modulation, body language) effectively	1	2	3	4	5	
The presenter used language and terminology that was clear, jargon-free, and understandable to a general audience.	1	2	3	4	5	
The presentation followed a logical sequence.	1	2	3	4	5	
The presenter spent adequate time on each element of their presentation. Not too long on one aspect and rushed.	1	2	3	4	5	
		Total for Communication:				
Comprehension						
The talk helped you to understand the scholarly research being undertaken.	1	2	3	4	5	
The presenter clearly outlined the nature and purpose of their research.	1	2	3	4	5	
The presenter clearly indicated the fascinating or compelling aspects of their research.	1	2	3	4	5	
The presentation provided an understanding of the background and significance to the research question being addressed.	1	2	3	4	5	
The presentation clearly described the impact and/or results of the research, including conclusions and outcomes.	1	2	3	4	5	
		Total for Comprehension:				
Engagement						
The talk was engaging and was able to capture and maintain the audience's attention.	1	2	3	4	5	
The talk was enthusiastic.	1	2	3	4	5	
The talk inspired you to want to know more.	1	2	3	4	5	
The PowerPoint slide enhanced the presentation – it was clear, legible and concise.	1	2	3	4	5	
		ד	Total for Engagement:			

Total for Presentation:____